

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

<u>.</u>	•		www.uspto.gov	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,217	07/17/2003	Joseph Edward Currie		1056
•	34606 7590 05/21/2004 JOSEPH E. CURRIE		EXAMINER	
506 WHITE PLAINS RD. WEBSTER, NH 03303-7112			WARD, JOHN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6			2875	
* (0)	**	*	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/621,217	CURRIE, JOSEPH EDWAR	.D			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO THE TOTAL THE TOTAL TO T	John A. Ward	2875				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover shet wit	h the correspondenc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.				
Status	*					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	h. 0000					
		•=				
closed in accordance with the practice under 5	ce except for formal matte	s, prosecution as to the ments is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims	•	*	•			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	ii nom consideration.	*				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement	* ;				
Application Papers	election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	n is required if the drawing(s) miner. Note the attached C	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 25 LLO O					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		19(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority	documents have been re-	ceived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		- 1			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	the certified copies not rec	eived.				
	• • •	* ,				
me		The second secon	-2-y-1-70-0a-1			
Attachment(s)	X					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office	У) 🗀 Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/621,217

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609 A(1) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

The examiner recommends that an Information Disclosure Statement be filed listing the prior art cited in the specification.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: The listing of the claims which includes letters and roman numerals are improper and is difficult to follow, examiner suggest the use of indentation instead of using letters or numerals. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim one the term "at least a second component" is used, a first component is not cited in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: the claim should be written to further limit the metes and bound of the claimed invention so that the limitations of the claims are clearly understood, however in claim 2 which refers a

Application/Control Number: 10/621,217

Art Unit: 2875

number of time back to claim 1, and also refers to claim 1 and to claim 2 itself after under letter "e", is improper dependency. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: under the letter "i" the after the word "diffuse illumination" is an improper Markush grouping. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in *Ex parte Wu*, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow language. The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the

Application/Control Number: 10/621,217

Art Unit: 2875

remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of *Ex parte Steigewald*, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); *Ex parte Hall*, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and *Ex parte Hasche*, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 1 recites the broad recitation the diffusing means can be any shaped surface, and the claim also recites the diffusing means having a "V" shaped indentation which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 2-4 are rejected because of its dependency upon claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Nowhere in the prior art is found all the limitations of the claimed invention as cited in claim 1, including the structure of the light source mounted on the printed circuit inside in the injected molded housing with a diffusing surface having the diffusing means having a V shaped indentations.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Chiang (US 6,505,963) shows an illumination running discloses a plurality of light sources mounted on a circuit board having a housing a diffuser, and Hou (6,619,824) shows an warning strip for an automobile also shows a plurality of light source mounted on a circuit board mounted inside the housing with a diffuser.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John A. Ward whose telephone number is 571-272-2386. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAW May 15, 2004

Jøhn A. Ward

Patent Examiner AU 2875